3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle Draft Lower Shannon Catchment Report (HA 25B)



# **Catchment Science & Management Unit**

# **Environmental Protection Agency**

August 2021

Version no. 1



# Preface

This document provides a summary of the water quality assessment outcomes for the Lower Shannon Catchment, which have been compiled and assessed by the EPA, with the assistance of the Local Authority Waters Programme (LAWPRO), local authorities and RPS consultants to inform the draft 3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle River Basin Management Plan. The information presented includes status and risk categories of all waterbodies, details on protected areas, significant issues, significant pressures, source load apportionment modelling and load reduction assessments for nutrients where applicable, an overview of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action and a list of proposed 3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action. These characterisation assessments are largely based on information available to the end of 2018, including the WFD Status Assessment for 2013-2018. Protected Area assessments are based on water quality information up to 2018 for Natura 2000 and Salmonid Waters; 2019 for Drinking Water; and 2020 for Nutrient Sensitive Areas and Bathing Waters.

The purpose of this draft report is to provide an overview of the situation in the catchment, draw comparison between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3, and help support the draft River Basin Management Plan 2022-2027 consultation process. Once the consultation process is completed the report will be finalised to reflect any changes and comments made as a result of the consultation process.

Water Framework Directive	<ul> <li>key dates and terminology</li> </ul>
Cycle 2 – EPA Characterisation and Assessment	Characterisation and assessment to inform the Cycle 2 RBMP was largely based on 2010-2015 WFD monitoring data.
Cycle 2 Catchment Assessments	Catchment Assessments based on the Cycle 2 characterisation and assessment were published in September 2018.
2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle River Basin Management Plan (RBMP) 2018-2021	This plan was for WFD Cycle 2 which runs from 2016-2021. This RBMP was published late, with this plan covering 2018-2021.
2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action	These 189 Areas for Action were selected under the RBMP 2018-2021
Cycle 3 -EPA Characterisation and Assessment	Cycle 3 runs from 2022-2027. Assessments to inform the Cycle 3 RBMP is largely based on 2013-2018 WFD monitoring data. This is the latest WFD monitoring assessment period for which all data are available.
Cycle 3 Catchment Assessments	Catchment Assessments based on the Cycle 3 characterisation and assessment were published in August 2021.
3 <sup>rd</sup> Cycle River Basin Management Plan 2022- 2027	This draft RBMP is for WFD Cycle 3 which runs from 2022-2027. Public consultation on this plan by the DHLGH and LAWPRO is taking place in late 2021 and early 2022.
3 <sup>rd</sup> Cycle Recommended Areas for Action – Protection/ Restoration/Projects	These recommended Areas for Action have been identified in the draft RBMP 2022-2027 and feedback can be given in the public consultation on this plan. They fall into 3 categories – Areas for Protection, Areas for Restoration and Catchment Projects.

# Table of Contents

1	Intr	oduction6
2	Wa	terbody Overview7
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	Waterbody Status7Protected Areas9Heavily Modified Waterbodies13Artificial Waterbodies13
3	Wa	terbody Risk13
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	Overview of Risk13Surface Waters13Groundwater15Heavily Modified Waterbodies16Artificial Waterbodies16
4	Sig	nificant Issues in <i>At Risk</i> Waterbodies16
	4.1 4.2	All Waterbodies
5	Sig	nificant pressures in <i>At Risk</i> Waterbodies17
	5.1 5.2	All Waterbodies17High Status Objective Waterbodies22
6	Sou	rce Load Apportionment Modelling (SLAM)22
7	Loa	d Reduction Assessment22
	7.1 7.2	Nitrogen Load Reduction22Phosphorus / Sediment Load Reduction23
8	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Cycle Areas for Action
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Area for Action Overview23Status Change in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action25Waterbody Risk in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action25Significant Issues in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action26Significant Pressure in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action27
9	3 <sup>rd</sup>	Cycle Recommended Areas for Action27
	9.1	Recommended Areas for Action Overview27
1(	) Cat	chment Summary

# List of Figures

Figure 1: Overview of subcatchments in the Lower Shannon catchment	6
Figure 2: Waterbody types and numbers in the Lower Shannon Catchment	7
Figure 3: Waterbody Status Breakdown (All waterbodies)	8
Figure 4: Status Class Changes between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3	9
Figure 5: Protected Areas – Public Health	. 10
Figure 6: Water Dependent SPAs / SACs and Salmonid Waters	. 12
Figure 7: Number of waterbodies in each risk category	. 14
Figure 8: Surface Water Risk Cycle 3	. 14
Figure 9: Surface Water Risk Change between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3	. 15
Figure 10: Cycle 3 Groundwater Body Risk	. 16
Figure 11: Significant Issues across all At Risk WBs between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3	. 17
Figure 12: Significant Pressure (All At Risk Waterbodies)	. 18
Figure 13: Locations of Waterbodies where Agriculture is a Significant Pressure	. 21
Figure 14: Locations of Waterbodies where Hydromorphology is a Significant Pressure	. 21
Figure 15: Locations of Waterbodies where Urban Waste Water is a Significant Pressure	. 21
Figure 16: Locations of Waterbodies where Other is a Significant Pressure	. 21
Figure 17: Estimated Proportions of N & P from Each Sector in the Lower Shannon Catchment	. 22
Figure 18: Waterbodies where Agricultural Measures should be Targeted	. 23
Figure 19: 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action Locations	. 24
Figure 20: 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle Area for Action Waterbody Status Class Changes between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3	. 25
Figure 21: Number of waterbodies in each risk category in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action	. 26
Figure 22: Significant Issues across all 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action Waterbodies	. 27
Figure 23: Significant Pressures in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle Area for Action Waterbodies	. 27
Figure 24: 3 <sup>rd</sup> Cycle Recommended Areas for Action Locations	. 28

# List of Tables

Table 1: Waterbody Status Breakdown Table (All Waterbodies)	8
Table 2: Natura 2000 Network Assessment Summary	11
Table 3: Nutrient sensitive areas in the catchment	12
Table 4: Urban Waste Water Treatment Agglomerations identified as significant pressures in A	\t Risk
waterbodies in Cycle 3	19
Table 5: Breakdown of Cycle 3 Industry Significant Pressures in the Lower Shannon Catchment	20
Table 6: 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action	24
Table 7: 3 <sup>rd</sup> Cycle Recommended Areas for Action Breakdown	29

# **1** Introduction

This report aims to provide an overview of the water quality status, risk, key issues and significant pressures for all waterbodies in the catchment based on the Characterisation Assessment undertaken for the  $3^{rd}$  Cycle River Basin Management Plan. In addition, a comparative overview of the water quality in the Lower Shannon catchment between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3 characterisation is provided along with a summary of the progress made in the  $2^{nd}$  Cycle Areas for Action. The recommended list for the  $3^{rd}$  Cycle Areas for Action is also provided.

To provide context, the Lower Shannon catchment covers an area of 982km<sup>2</sup> and is characterised by a wide flat pain underlain by mostly impure limestones with a band of purer karstified limestone running from Fivealley to Ballingarry and an upland region in the east comprising the western slopes of the Slieve Bloom Mountains and the low hills to the southwest of Roscrea. There are extensive sand and gravel deposits around Roscrea and Birr that form productive groundwater aquifers (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Overview of subcatchments in the Lower Shannon catchment

The Lower Shannon catchment is divided into seven subcatchments (Figure 1) with 44 river waterbodies and 28 groundwater bodies (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Waterbody types and numbers in the Lower Shannon Catchment.

# 2 Waterbody Overview

## 2.1 Waterbody Status

- This assessment to inform the 3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle RBMP is largely based on WFD monitoring data for the period 2013-2018, which is the latest WFD monitoring assessment period for which all data are available.
- For this assessment to inform For Cycle 3, there are two waterbodies achieving High Status, 42 achieving Good Status, 11 achieving Moderate Status and seven achieving Poor Status. There are 10 unassigned waterbodies in the catchment for Cycle 3. All waterbodies must achieve at least Good Ecological status.
- There are two river waterbodies (Camcor\_010 & Roscomore Stream\_010) that must achieve High Ecological Status (HES) in this catchment. These waterbodies are listed in Appendix 1. Both of the HES Environmental Objective waterbodies are achieving High Status.
- There have been reductions of two waterbodies (all river waterbodies) achieving Good Status, one waterbody (river waterbody) achieving Moderate Status and one unassigned river waterbody between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3. There has been an increase of four waterbodies (all river waterbodies) achieving Poor Status (Figure 3 & Table 1).



Figure 3: Waterbody Status Breakdown (All waterbodies)

Table 1: Waterbody Status Breakdown Table (All Waterbodies)

	Riv	ver	La	ke	Trans	itional	Соа	stal	Groun	dwater	То	tal
2013-2018	Cycle	Cycle	Cycle	Cycle	Cycle	Cycle	Cycle	Cycle	Cycle	Cycle	Cycle	Cycle
Status	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
High	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Good	16	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	28	44	42
Moderate	12	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	11
Poor	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7
Bad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Un-												
assigned	11	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	10
Total	44	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	28	72	72

- Figure 4 illustrates the change in status between Cycle 2 (assessment based largely on 2010-2015 WFD Monitoring data) and Cycle 3 (assessment largely based on 2013-2018 WFD monitoring data.
- Over this period one (2%) waterbodies have improved in status, 53 (88%) waterbodies have remained unchanged and six (10%) waterbodies have declined in status.<sup>1</sup>
- There is an overall decline in the status of five waterbodies across the catchment since the Cycle 2 assessment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unassigned waterbodies have not been considered in this Status class change assessment and therefore are not represented in Figure 4. Percentage displayed in the Figure 4 are in relation to the total number of waterbodies with status assigned in both cycles, as opposed to total number of all waterbodies.



Figure 4: Status Class Changes between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3

### 2.2 Protected Areas

#### 2.2.1 Drinking Water

There are four surface waterbodies in the catchment identified as Drinking Water Protected Areas (DWPA) based on water abstraction data on the abstraction register and from other sources in 2018. All groundwater bodies nationally are identified as DWPA. DWPA layers can be viewed at <a href="https://gis.epa.ie/EPAMaps/Water-see Protected Areas-Drinking Water">https://gis.epa.ie/EPAMaps/Water-see Protected Areas - Drinking Water</a>.

- One groundwater body in the catchment did not meet the DWPA objective in 2019:
  - Templemore (IE\_SE\_G\_131) groundwater body is the source for Templetuohy public supply (2800PUB1013) which had nitrate exceedance.
- ◆ For more detailed information please see the EPA reports on drinking water quality in 2019 for <u>Public Supplies<sup>2</sup></u> and <u>Private Supplies<sup>3</sup></u>.

#### 2.2.2 Bathing Waters

- There are no bathing waters in or directly adjacent to the catchment identified under the Bathing Water Regulations 2008.
- For more detailed information please see the EPA report on <u>bathing water quality in 2020</u><sup>4</sup>.

#### 2.2.3 Shellfish Areas

• There are no designated shellfish areas in the catchment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup><u>https://www.epa.ie/publications/compliance--enforcement/drinking-water/annual-drinking-water-reports/drinking-water-quality-in-public-supplies-2019.php</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup><u>https://www.epa.ie/publications/compliance--enforcement/drinking-water/annual-drinking-water-reports/focus-on-private-water-supplies-2019.php</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup><u>https://www.epa.ie/publications/monitoring--assessment/freshwater--marine/bathing-water-quality-in-ireland-2020-.php</u>



The locations of Protected Areas associated with Public Health (Drinking Water, Bathing Water and Shellfish Areas, where applicable) are illustrated in Figure 5 below.

Figure 5: Protected Areas – Public Health

#### 2.2.4 Natura 2000 Sites

- Many of the habitats and species listed for protection in the Birds and Habitats Directives are water dependent. The Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) with water dependent habitats or species in this catchment are presented in Figure 6, along with waterbodies designated as salmonid waters (S.I. No. 293 of 1988) and waterbodies with Fresh Water Pearl Mussel habitat, where identified.
- There are 17 SACs in this catchment, 15 of which have water dependent habitats or species. The waterbodies within these SACs were assessed for associated water dependent habitats and species and if they met the supporting requirements for habitats and species using their 2013-2018 WFD status. For the purposes of the assessment, it was assumed that Good ecological status is adequate to meet the supporting conditions of all habitats and species with the exception of the Freshwater Pearl Mussel, which has additional requirements for supporting conditions set out in the Freshwater Pearl Mussel Regulations (S.I. No 296 of 2009) for macroinvertebrates, filamentous algae, phytobenthos, macrophytes and siltation.
- Specific water supporting conditions have not been identified for the dependent bird species in the SPAs and so waterbodies associated with SPAs are not included in this assessment.

Results of the overall assessment for this catchment are outlined in

Table 2 below, information at a waterbody level can be viewed at Catchments.ie.<sup>5</sup>

Table 2: Natura 2000 Network Assessment Summary

Water Body Type	Total No.	Meeting the Requirements	Did not meet the Requirements	Unknown*
Rivers	1	1	0	0

\*As the waterbody status was unassigned.

- There are no river waterbodies with FWPM habitats in the catchment.
- There is one groundwater body (GWDTE-Fin Lough Fen (SAC000571)) delineated and assessed as Groundwater Dependent Terrestrial Ecosystems for this catchment. The waterbody is at Good Status (2013-2018).
- Water dependent SACs/ SPAs in the catchment are illustrated in Figure 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>https://www.catchments.ie/download/catchments-assessments-protected-areas-supportingdocuments/



Figure 6: Water Dependent SPAs / SACs and Salmonid Waters

#### 2.2.5 Nutrient Sensitive Areas

- The EPA carried out a review of Nutrient Sensitive Areas (NSAs) downstream of large urban waste water discharges in 2020. Once the regulations are in place, and nutrient sensitive areas have been identified, additional nutrient removal must be applied (if not already applied) to waste water treatment plants discharging to the sensitive area. If this treatment was in place the objective was deemed to have been met.
- There is one NSA in the catchment downstream of Roscrea urban wastewater agglomeration, the NSA objective is being met (Table 3).

Nutrient	Agglomer	ation	Wate	Water body		Objective met?	
Area	Name	Code	Name	Code	Yes	No	Comment
Little							
Brosna							Tertiary
River			Little				Treatment
(020)	Roscrea	D0025-01	Brosna_020	IE_SH_25L020400	✓		in place

Table 3: Nutrient sensitive areas in the catchment

# 2.3 Heavily Modified Waterbodies

• Based on the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> RBMPs there are currently no heavily modified water bodies (HMWBs) in the catchment.

## 2.4 Artificial Waterbodies

• There are no Artificial Waterbodies (AWBs) present in the Lower Shannon Catchment.

# 3 Waterbody Risk

## 3.1 Overview of Risk

- A waterbody that is *At Risk* means that either the waterbody is currently not achieving its Water Framework Directive (WFD) environmental objective of Good or High Ecological Status or that there is an upward trend in nutrients or ammonia and if this trend continues the waterbody Status will decline by the end of Cycle 3 and will fail to meet its environmental objective.
- A waterbody can be considered as *Review* for the following three reasons:
  - The waterbody does not have status assigned to it yet, it is referred to as an unassigned waterbody, and therefore there is not enough evidence to determine if it is *At Risk* or *Not At Risk*.
  - The waterbody has shown some slight evidence or improvement, but more evidence is needed before it can be considered as *Not At Risk.*
  - Measures are planned or have already been implemented for the waterbody and no further measures should be applied until there is enough time to assess if these measures are working.
- A waterbody is *Not At Risk* when it is achieving its environmental objective of either High or Good Status and that there is no evidence indicating that there is a trend towards status decline.
- In total there are 72 waterbodies in the Lower Shannon Catchment and 20 (28%) are currently At Risk, 13 (18%) in Review and 39 (54%) are Not At Risk.

# **3.2** Surface Waters

- For the 44 rivers waterbodies, 19 (43%) are At Risk five (11%) are in Review and 20 (45%) are Not At Risk.
- Figure 7 gives an overview of the breakdown of risk across waterbody types for both Cycle 2 and Cycle 3.
- Overall, there is an increase in five At Risk waterbodies and five Not At Risk waterbodies, and a decrease of 10 waterbodies in Review between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3.



Figure 7: Number of waterbodies in each risk category

 The location of the At Risk, Review and Not At Risk surface waterbodies for Cycle 3 are shown in Figure 8 while the surface waterbodies that have experienced a change in risk between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3 are shown in Figure 9.



Figure 8: Surface Water Risk Cycle 3



Figure 9: Surface Water Risk Change between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3

## 3.3 Groundwater

- For the 28 groundwater bodies, one (4%) is *At Risk* (Tullamore), eight (29%) are in *Review* and 19 (68%) are *Not At Risk*. Only a minor portion of the Tullamore groundwater is within the Lower Shannon Catchment boundary.
- In Cycle 2, there were 16 groundwater bodies in *Review* in this catchment and 12 *Not At Risk*.
- The location of the At Risk, Review and Not At Risk groundwater bodies for Cycle 3 are shown in Figure 10.



Figure 10: Cycle 3 Groundwater Body Risk

## 3.4 Heavily Modified Waterbodies

• There are no HMWBs in the catchment. There may be changes to HMWB designation once the Cycle 3 HMWB assessment has been completed and consulted on for the 3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle Final RBMP.

## **3.5 Artificial Waterbodies**

• There are no Artificial Waterbodies (AWBs) present in the Lower Shannon Catchment.

# 4 Significant Issues in *At Risk* Waterbodies

## 4.1 All Waterbodies

- Excess nutrients and morphological impacts remain the most prevalent issues in the Lower Shannon catchment (Figure 11) impacting 18 and nine waterbodies, respectively, in Cycle 3. Organic pollution is impacting seven waterbodies, while sediment and chemical issues are impacting three and one waterbody, respectively.
  - For river waterbodies, the main significant issues are nutrient pollution (18), morphological impacts (9), organic (7) and sediment impacts (3).

- For the one *At Risk* groundwater body (Tullamore) the significant issue is chemical pollution.
- Between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3 the number of waterbodies with nutrient and organic pollution have both increased by six, from 12 to 18 and from one to seven waterbodies impacted respectively.
- The numbers of waterbodies with sediment and chemical issues have also both increased from no waterbodies in Cycle 2 to three and one respectively in Cycle 3.
- The number of waterbodies impacted by morphological impacts has decreased from 10 in Cycle 2 to nine in Cycle 3.



\*Other - Acidification, saline intrusion, elevated temperature, litter, microbiological pollution and unknown impacts have all been grouped into the "Other" issues category for the purpose of this report

Figure 11: Significant Issues across all At Risk WBs between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3

## 4.2 High Status Objective Waterbodies

• The Lower Shannon Catchment currently has two High Status Objective waterbodies, Camcor\_010 and Roscomore Stream\_010. Neither of these waterbodies are At Risk in Cycle 3.

# 5 Significant pressures in At Risk Waterbodies

#### 5.1 All Waterbodies

- Where waterbodies have been classed as *At Risk*, significant pressures have been identified.
- Figure 12 shows a breakdown of the number of *At Risk* waterbodies in each significant pressure category.

- The significant pressure affecting the greatest number of waterbodies is agriculture, followed by hydromorphology, urban waste water, other<sup>6</sup>, mines & quarries and forestry, urban runoff, peat and industry.
- When comparing Cycle 2 and Cycle 3 the biggest change is an increase of five waterbodies where agriculture is a significant pressure from eight waterbodies in Cycle 2 to 13 waterbodies in Cycle 3.



\*Other – abstractions, aquaculture, atmospheric, anthropogenic pressures, historically polluted sites, waste, water treatment and invasive species have all been grouped into the "Other" pressure category for the purpose of this report Figure 12: Significant Pressure (All At Risk Waterbodies)

#### 5.1.1 Pressure Type

#### 5.1.1.1 Agriculture

Agriculture is a significant pressure in 16 waterbodies. The issues related to farming in this catchment are predominantly due to phosphorus loss to surface waters from, for example, direct discharges; or runoff from yards, roadways or other compacted surfaces, or runoff from poorly draining soils. Sediment can also be a problem from land drainage works or tillage, bank erosion from animal access or stream crossings. Total oxidised nitrogen conditions were noted to be moderate within the three of the Lower Shannon subcatchments (25B\_2, 25B\_6 and 25B\_7).

#### 5.1.1.2 Hydromorphology

 Hydromorphology is a significant pressure in six waterbodies, Eyrecourt Stream\_010, Kilcomin Stream\_020, Kilcomin Stream\_030, Bunow\_020, Rock (Birr)\_010 and Little Brosna\_040. Extensive modification has occurred within three river water bodies of the Shannon [Lower] and Little Brosna subcatchments due to drainage schemes, and within two river waterbodies of the Little Brosna

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Abstractions, aquaculture, atmospheric, anthropogenic pressures, historically polluted sites, waste, water treatment and invasive species have all been grouped into the "Other" pressure category for the purpose of this report

sub catchment due to unauthorised drainage works. Such activities have led to excessive siltation. The degradation of bank protection has also contributed to the excessive input of fine sediment within a river waterbody (Bunow\_020) of the Little Brosna sub catchment.

#### 5.1.1.3 Urban Waste Water

 Urban Waste Water Treatment agglomerations have been identified as a significant pressure in five At Risk waterbodies (all river waterbodies. None of the four agglomerations identified as significant pressures are scheduled for upgrades under Irish Water's Capital Investment Programme (2020-2024)

Table 4: Urban Waste Water Treatment Agglomerations identified as significant pressures in *At Risk* waterbodies in Cycle 3

Facility name	Facility Type	Waterbody	2013-18 Ecological Status	Irish Water's Expected CIP Completion Date <sup>7</sup>
Eyrecourt		Eyrecourt		N/A
D0375	500 to 1,000 p.e.	Stream_010	Poor	
Roscrea				N/A
D0025	> 10,000 p.e.	Bunow_020	Moderate	
Roscrea				N/A
D0025	> 10,000 p.e.	Little Brosna_020	Poor	
Coolderry				N/A
A0166	< 500 p.e.	Rock (Birr)_010	Poor	
	Combined Sewer			N/A
Birr (D0109)	Overflows	Rock (Birr)_020	Moderate	

Urban waste water significant pressures impacted one additional waterbody than in Cycle 2 (an increase from four to five waterbodies impacted). Birr (D0109) agglomeration was not listed as a pressure in Cycle 2 but has been added in Cycle 3.

## 5.1.1.4 Other Significant Pressures

♦ Aquaculture

Aquaculture has been identified as a significant pressure in two river waterbodies, Golden Grove Stream\_020 and Bunow\_020. There are two fish farms which have contributed to elevated concentrations of ammonia in these rivers.

• Anthropogenic unknown

There are unknown significant pressures impacting on the Shinrone Stream\_010 and Clareen Stream/Fuarawn\_020 river waterbodies.

#### 5.1.1.5 Mines and Quarries

Quarries have been identified as a significant pressure in three waterbodies, Clareen Stream/Fuarawn\_010, Clareen Stream/Fuarawn\_020 and Golden Grove Stream\_020. The quarries on the Clareen Stream/Fuarawn\_010 and \_020 have been known to cause extreme siltation with moderate to heavy siltation recorded at the monitoring site in 2014. The substrate is also calcareous. The quarry impacting the Golden Grove Stream\_010 is active and appears to be responsible for intermittent siltation issues.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Based on Irish Water's Capital Investment Programme (2020-2024) as of February 2021 and may be subject to change.

#### 5.1.1.6 Forestry

 Forestry has been identified as a significant pressure in two waterbodies, Shinrone Stream\_010 and Clareen Stream/Fuarawn\_020. The significant issue in these river waterbodies is from silt due to general forestry activities and possible felling.

#### 5.1.1.7 Urban Run-off

 Diffuse urban pressures, caused by misconnections, leaking sewers and runoff from paved and unpaved areas, have been identified as a significant pressure in two river waterbodies from Birr town (Rock (Birr)\_020) and Banagher (Shannon (Lower)\_020). Nutrient pollution, organic pollution and sedimentation are the significant issues.

#### 5.1.1.8 Peat

Peat harvesting, and drainage have been identified as significant pressures in two waterbodies, Rapemills\_020 and Little Brosna\_040 respectively. There has been intensive peat cutting throughout which is contributing elevated total oxidised nitrogen. In the Little Brosna\_040 it is noted that there is some peat extraction however there is an extensive drainage network which has resulted in increased sediment loads, which alters habitats, morphology and hydrology.

#### 5.1.1.9 Industry

Industrial discharges have been identified as a significant pressure in two rivers, Little Brosna\_020 and Bunow\_020 (Table 5), with organic concentrations being the main issues of concern.

Table 5: Breakdown of Cycle 3 Industry Significant Pressures in the Lower Shannon Catchment

Waterbody Code	Waterbody Name	Waterbody	Emission	Name	Impact
		Туре	Туре		
IE_SH_25B250400	BUNOW_020	River	Section 4	N/A*	Organic
IE_SH_25L020400	LITTLE BROSNA_020	River	Section 4	N/A*	Organic

\*Name of facility not provided during characterisation

Figure 13 – Figure 16 illustrates the locations of waterbodies for the four most common pressures in order of prevalence (agriculture, hydromorphology, urban waste water and other) within the catchment in Cycle 3.



Figure 13: Locations of Waterbodies where Agriculture is a Significant Pressure





Figure 14: Locations of Waterbodies where Hydromorphology is a Significant Pressure



Figure 15: Locations of Waterbodies where Urban Waste Water is a Significant Pressure Figure 16: Locations of Waterbodies where Other is a Significant Pressure

## 5.2 High Status Objective Waterbodies

 As stated in Section 4.2, the Lower Shannon Catchment has two High Status Objective waterbodies, Camcor\_010 and Roscomore Stream\_010. Neither of these waterbodies are At Risk in Cycle 3.

## 6 Source Load Apportionment Modelling (SLAM)

- The EPA has developed Source Load Apportionment Models (SLAM) for both P and N which estimate the proportion of the phosphorus and nitrogen inputs, respectively, to waters in each catchment that comes from each sector.
- The main data inputs for the model for agriculture are the 2018 land parcel (LPIS) and animal (AIMs) data from the Department of Agriculture Food and the Marine. The Urban Waste Water (UWW) data comes from Irish Water's discharge monitoring data. The model also calculates the inputs from a range of other sectors, including for example, forestry, septic tanks, peat, urban runoff and atmospheric deposition.
- In the catchment pasture is responsible for 86% of the nitrogen load while land in pasture, forestry and discharges from urban waste water contribute 44%, 17% and 14% of the phosphorus loadings for the catchment respectively (Figure 17).



Figure 17: Estimated Proportions of N & P from Each Sector in the Lower Shannon Catchment

# 7 Load Reduction Assessment

#### 7.1 Nitrogen Load Reduction

 An assessment was undertaken to determine if nitrogen reductions in rivers, streams and lakes are required for Transitional and Coastal (TRACs) waterbodies to achieve their WFD environmental objective. The outcome of the assessment indicated that 10 of the 46 catchments require N reductions in our inland waters to restore some TRAC waterbodies. Nitrogen load reduction to meet TRAC WFD objectives are not required in the Lower Shannon Catchment.

# 7.2 Phosphorus / Sediment Load Reduction

• Further modelling work is required to determine if and what P load reductions are required.

Figure 18 highlights areas where agricultural measures for nitrogen, sediment and phosphorus should be targeted. Waterbodies with orange fill are areas where nitrogen measures should be targeted, waterbodies with blue fill are areas where sediment or phosphorus should be targeted and waterbodies with orange and blue hatching highlight areas where multiple measures (phosphorus /sediment and nitrogen) are required. Pollution Impact Potential mapping for both phosphorus and nitrogen in the catchment are provided in Appendix 2.



Figure 18: Waterbodies where Agricultural Measures should be Targeted

# 8 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action

#### 8.1 Area for Action Overview

There were three Areas for Action, comprising of four waterbodies, selected for further characterisation and action in the catchment for the 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle River Basin Management Plan. The Areas for Action in the catchment are listed in Table 6 and shown in Figure 19. LAWPRO,



in conjunction with local authorities and stakeholders from the Midlands and East, West and South East Regional Operational Committee, have been working in these areas since 2018.

Figure 19: 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action Locations

2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle Area for	Number of	Sub-	Local	Reason for Selection
Action	waterbodies	catchment	Authority	
Clareen	2	25B_3	Offaly	<ul> <li>Building on existing knowledge from works completed by Offaly County Council.</li> <li>Manageable area.</li> <li>Large Group water scheme in the area.</li> </ul>
Little Brosna_040	1	25B_7	Offaly	<ul> <li>Little Brosna_040 returned to good in 2010-12.</li> <li>Sharavoge Bog is in the area; important raised bog.</li> <li>Riverstown drinking water abstraction in area.</li> </ul>
Bunow	1	25b_6	Tipperary Laois Offaly	<ul> <li>Build on ongoing work by Tipperary County Council.</li> </ul>

2 <sup>nd</sup> Cycle Area for	Number of	Sub-	Local	Reason for Selection
Action	waterbodies	catchment	Authority	
				<ul> <li>Important for rural town (Roscrea) that it flows through.</li> </ul>

## 8.2 Status Change in 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action

- For Cycle 3, of the four waterbodies in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action, there are three waterbodies at Moderate Status and one waterbody at Poor Status.
- There is an overall decline in the status of one of the 2<sup>nd</sup> cycle Areas for Action waterbodies across the catchment.<sup>8</sup>
- Of the four waterbodies within the 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action which had status assigned, three experienced no change in status between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3 and one was subject to a deterioration in status (Figure 20). The waterbody which experienced a decline was in Clareen Area for Action.



Figure 20: 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Area for Action Waterbody Status Class Changes between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3

## 8.3 Waterbody Risk in 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action

- For the four waterbodies in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action, all of these are currently At Risk.
- All four At Risk waterbodies are river waterbodies. Figure 21 gives an overview of the breakdown
  of risk across waterbody types for both Cycle 2 and Cycle 3 in 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Status class change cannot be calculated for waterbodies where status has not been assigned in either cycle 2 or 3 and therefore these waterbodies are not represented in Figure 18. Percentage displayed in the chart below are in relation to the total number of waterbodies with status assigned in both cycles, as opposed to total number of all waterbodies.

 Overall there is no change in the number of At Risk waterbodies in 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3.



Figure 21: Number of waterbodies in each risk category in 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action

# 8.4 Significant Issues in 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action

- Based on the EPA assessment for Cycle 3, the significant issues in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action are morphological impacts and nutrient pollution, each impacting three waterbodies (Figure 22). This is followed by sediment and organic pollution which are both impacting one waterbody.
- The number of 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action waterbodies associated with each of the significant issues categories has increased or remained unchanged between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3 except for morphological which has decreased from four to three waterbodies.



\*Other - Acidification, saline intrusion, elevated temperature, litter, microbiological pollution and unknown impacts have all been grouped into the "Other" issues category for the purpose of this report

Figure 22: Significant Issues across all 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action Waterbodies

# 8.5 Significant Pressure in 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action

- For Cycle 3, in 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action waterbodies in the catchment the dominant significant pressures are:
  - Agriculture remained unchanged with three waterbodies are impacted in both cycles.
  - Hydromorphology, mines and quarries and other impacts each impacted two waterbodies. When compared with Cycle 2, both hydromorphology and mines and quarries remained unchanged while other issues increased by one since Cycle 2.
  - Forestry, urban waste water, peat and industry are all impacting one waterbody each. The categories are unchanged when compared with Cycle 2, with the exception of forestry which has increased by one waterbody.
- When comparing the significant pressures in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action between Cycle 2 and 3 there has been an increase or no change in all significant pressure categories in the catchment.



\*Other – abstractions, aquaculture, atmospheric, anthropogenic pressures, historically polluted sites, waste, water treatment and invasive species have all been grouped into the "Other" pressure category for the purpose of this report

Figure 23: Significant Pressures in 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Area for Action Waterbodies

# 9 3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle Recommended Areas for Action

## 9.1 Recommended Areas for Action Overview

 For the 3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle Draft River Basin Management Plan Areas for Action have been extended out to not only include Prioritised Areas for Action undertaken by LAWPRO which focussed on restoring waterbodies, but to also include restoration work undertaken by all agencies under Areas for Restoration. In addition, protection work is included under Areas for Protection and research, pilot schemes and community initiatives are included under Catchment Projects. The aim of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle Plan is to capture all activity that is working to restore, improve and/or protect waterbodies.

There are 13 Recommended Areas for Action, comprising of 34 waterbodies, selected for further characterisation and action in the catchment for the 3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle River Basin Management Plan. 16 of the 34 waterbodies in the 3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle Recommended Areas for Action are At Risk, two are in Review and 16 are Not At Risk. The 13 Recommended Areas for Action consist of six Areas for Protection and seven Areas for Restoration. LAWPRO are the proposed lead organisation in four Recommended Areas for Action, Offlay County Council are the proposed lead in eight Recommended Areas for Action and NFGWS are the proposed lead on the remaining Recommended Area for Action. The Recommended Areas for Action in the catchment are listed in Table 7 and shown in Figure 24. The reason for selecting for each waterbody in a Recommended Areas for Action is provided in Appendix 3.



Figure 24: 3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle Recommended Areas for Action Locations

Table 7: 3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle Recommended Areas for Action Breakdown

2nd Curle Decommended	Number of	Recommended Areas for	December ded Arres for	
Areas for Action	Areas for Action Waterbodies Category		Action Sub-category	Lead Organisation
Areas for Action	Waterboules	Category	LA Areas for Protection	
Breaghmore	2	Protection		Offaly County Council
Dicuginiore		1 loteetion		
			Prioritised Areas for	
Bunow	2	Restoration	Action LAWPRO	LAWPRO
Dissionstan				
Blackwater (Shannonbridge)	2	Drotoction	LA Areas for Protection	Offely County Council
(Shannonbridge)	2	Protection	Local Authonties	
			LA Areas for Protection	
Camcor	5	Protection	Local Authorities	Offaly County Council
			Prioritised Areas for	
Clareen	2	Restoration	Action LAWPRO	LAWPRO
			LA Areas for Restoration	
Golden Grove Stream	2	Restoration	Local Authorities	Offaly County Council
			LA Areas for Protection	
Glenfelly Stream	1	Protection	Local Authorities	Offaly County Council
Little Durante		Destaution	Prioritised Areas for	
Little Brosna	11	Restoration	Action LAWPRO	LAWPRO
			Prioritised Areas for	
Rapemills	2	Restoration	Action LAWPRO	LAWPRO
· ·			LA Areas for Restoration	
Rock (Birr)	2	Restoration	Local Authorities	Offaly County Council
			LA Areas for Protection	
Roscomore Stream	1	Protection	Local Authorities	Offaly County Council
			Public Health Areas for	
			Protection NFGWS, IW,	
Esker GWS	1	Protection	HSE, LAS, SFPA	NFGWS
			LA Areas for Restoration	
Shinrone	1	Restoration	Local Authorities	Offaly County Council

# **10 Catchment Summary**

- Of the 44 river waterbodies, 19 are *At Risk* of not meeting their WFD objectives.
- One out of 28 groundwater bodies is At Risk.
- There has been an overall deterioration across the catchment with 20 waterbodies *At Risk* in Cycle 3 compared to 15 waterbodies *At Risk* in Cycle 2.
- The main significant issues are from nutrient pollution and morphological impacts, followed by organic pollution, sediment pollution and other impacts.
- The main significant pressures are agricultural pressures followed by hydromorphological, urban waste water, other<sup>9</sup> pressures, mines and quarries, forestry, urban run-off, peat and industry.
- The main impacts and pressures driving the change between Cycle 2 and Cycle 3 are increases in waterbodies impacted by nutrient and organic pollution.
- There was been no change in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Areas for Action since Cycle 2. Four waterbodies were *At Risk* in Cycle 2 and four waterbodies are *At Risk* in Cycle 3.
- There are 13 3<sup>rd</sup> Cycle Recommended Areas for Action for Cycle 3. They comprise of 34 waterbodies with 16 waterbodies *At Risk*, two in *Review* and 16 *Not At Risk*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Abstractions, aquaculture, atmospheric, anthropogenic pressures, historically polluted sites, waste, water treatment and invasive species have all been grouped into the "Other" pressure category for the purpose of this report

# Appendix 1 High ecological status objective waterbodies

Waterbody Name	Waterbody Type	Waterbody Code	Status 2013-2018
CAMCOR_010	River	IE_SH_25C020100	High
Roscomore Stream_010	River	IE_SH_25R050100	High

Appendix 2 Pollution Impact Potential Mapping



![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Appendix 3 Summary information on all waterbodies in the Lower Shannon Catchment

								High Ecological Status		Recommended	
Subcatchment	Waterbody Code	Waterbody Name	Waterbody	Rick 10-15	Rick 13-18	Status 10-15	Status 13-18	Objective Waterbody	Significant	Areas for Action	Recommended Areas for Action
25B 5			River	Not at risk	Not at risk		Linassigned	No	Flessules	INAILIE	
250_5	12_311_237370450			Not at fisk		onassigned	Unassigned				OY proposed for protection
25B_3	IE_SH_25B120020	BREAGHMORE_010	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		Breaghmore	NFGWS Clareen GWS
25B_3	IE_SH_25B120080	BREAGHMORE_020	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Unassigned	No			
											Between waterbodies that require
25B_3	IE_SH_25B120400	BREAGHMORE_030	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		Breaghmore	restoration
25B_6	IE_SH_25B250100	BUNOW_010	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Unassigned	Unassigned	No		Bunow	Subcatchment of existing PAA
									Hymo, Ind,		Cffalv CC: propose Little Prospa 020 for
25B 6	IF SH 25B250400	BUNOW 020	River	At risk	At risk	Moderate	Moderate	No	UWW	Bunow	SWO S4 & WWTP inputs from Roscrea
		BLACKWATER								Blackwater	unassigned to complete Blackwater
25B 2	IE SH 25B270110	(SHANNONBRIDGE) 010	River	At risk	Review	Moderate	Good	No		(Shannonbridge)	(Shannonbridge) sub catchment
											Achieving EO.
											Proposed by OY for protection
		BLACKWATER								Blackwater	
25B_2	IE_SH_25B270200	(SHANNONBRIDGE)_020	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		(Shannonbridge)	NFGWS - Clondelara / Blackwater GWS
25B_3	IE_SH_25C020100	CAMCOR_010	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	High	High	Yes		Camcor	OY proposed for protection
25B_3	IE_SH_25C020300	CAMCOR_020	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		Camcor	OY proposed for protection
25B_3	IE_SH_25C020500	CAMCOR_030	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	NO		Camcor	OY proposed for protection
25B_3	IE_SH_25C020600	CAMCOR_040	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	NO		Camcor	OY proposed for protection
25B_3	IE_SH_25C020700		River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	NO		Camcor	Of proposed for protection
25B 3	IF SH 25C130200	STREAM/FUARAWN 010	River	At risk	At risk	Moderate	Moderate	No	Δσ Μ+Ο	Clareen	existing PAA - transition strategy to be
230_3				The HSR	/ terisk	Wioderate	Woderate		Ag. For.	Clareen	
		CLAREEN							M+Q,		existing PAA - transition strategy to be
25B_3	IE_SH_25C130600	STREAM/FUARAWN_020	River	At risk	At risk	Moderate	Poor	No	Other	Clareen	developed
25B_4	IE_SH_25C180820	Carrownafinnoge_010	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Unassigned	Unassigned	No			
									Ag, Hymo,		
25B_4	IE_SH_25E010200	EYRECOURT STREAM_010	River	At risk	At risk	Poor	Poor	No	UWW		
										Golden Grove	1. Headwaters, 2 .support Brosna 020
25B_6	IE_SH_25G060080	GOLDEN GROVE STREAM_010	River	At risk	At risk	Moderate	Moderate	No	Ag	Stream	(proposed PAA)
									M+Q,	Golden Grove	
25B_6	IE_SH_25G060200	GOLDEN GROVE STREAM_020	River	At risk	At risk	Moderate	Moderate	No	Other	Stream	1. Support Brosna 020 (proposed PAA)
25B_3	IE_SH_25G210090	GLENFELLY STREAM_010	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		Glenfelly Stream	OY proposed for protection
25B_4	IE_SH_25G560730	GORTAHA_010	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Unassigned	Unassigned	No			
25B_5	IE_SH_25I020930	INCHERKY_010	River	Review	Review	Unassigned	Unassigned	No			
25B 6	IE SH 25K140016	KEELOGE STRFAM 010	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		Little Brosna	Complete Sub-catchment of Little Brosna
							1.0004			1.000 0.0000	

								High Ecological Status		Recommended	
Subcatchment	Waterbody Code	Waterbody Name	Waterbody	Risk 10-15	Risk 13-18	Status 10-15	Status 13-18	Objective Waterbody	Significant	Areas for Action	Recommended Areas for Action
	Waterbouy coue		Type		NISK 13 10	510105 10 15	514143 13 10	Waterbouy	Tressures	Nume	Complete Sub-catchment of Little
25B 6	IE SH 25K140027	KEELOGE STREAM 020	River	At risk	At risk	Moderate	Moderate	No	Ag	Little Brosna	Brosna
											Ag significant pressure
											2027 EO
											expand Little Brosna PAA to complete
											sub catchment
											OY propose for LAWPRO
			<b>.</b>								1. Headwater 2. Building on
25B_6	IE_SH_25K150040	KILCOMIN STREAM_010	River	Atrisk	Atrisk	Poor	Poor	NO	Ag	Little Brosna	Improvements
											Ag, nymo significant pressure
											expand Little Brospa PAA to complete
											sub catchment
											OY propose for LAWPRO
25B_6	IE_SH_25K150052	KILCOMIN STREAM_020	River	Review	At risk	Unassigned	Moderate	No	Ag, Hymo	Little Brosna	1. Building on Improvements
											Ag, hymo significant pressure
											2027 EO
											expand Little Brosna PAA to complete
											sub catchment
258 6	IE SH 25K150085	KILCOMINI STREAM 020	Pivor	At rick	Atrick	Moderate	Poor	No	Ag Hymo	Little Brosna	1 Boverse recent decline
230_0				ACTISK	ACTISK	Woderate	1001	NO	Ag, Hymo		Complete Sub-catchment of Little
25B 6	IE SH 25L020100	LITTLE BROSNA 010	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		Little Brosna	Brosna PAA
											UWW significant pressure
											2027 EO
											expand Little Brosna PAA to complete
											sub catchment
											OY Proposed for LAWPRO
											1. SWO for Roscrea, 2. 2 Section 4's and Reserves W(W/TD immute 2. Nutriant
									Ag Ind		Sensitive Area 4 Support Little Brospa
25B 6	IF SH 251020400	LITTLE BROSNA 020	River	At risk	At risk	Moderate	Poor	No		Little Brosna	040 PAA (2020) downstream
					ACTISK	Woderate	1001	NO	0000		Complete Sub-catchment of Little
25B 7	IE SH 25L020600	LITTLE BROSNA 030	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		Little Brosna	Brosna PAA
											Existing PAA
											expand Little Brosna PAA to complete
											sub catchment
									Ag, Hymo,		Ag, hymo significant pressuers
25B_7	IE_SH_25L020700	LITTLE BROSNA_040	River	At risk	At risk	Moderate	Moderate	No	Peat	Little Brosna	2027 EO
											Complete Sub-catchment of Little
25B_5	IE_SH_25L020800	LITTLE BROSNA_050	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		Little Brosna	Brosna PAA

Subcatchment			Waterbody					High Ecological Status Objective	Significant	Recommended Areas for Action	Recommended Areas for Action
Code	Waterbody Code	Waterbody Name	Туре	Risk 10-15	Risk 13-18	Status 10-15	Status 13-18	Waterbody	Pressures	Name	(reasons for selection)
											Complete Sub-catchment of Little
25B_5	IE_SH_25L021000	LITTLE BROSNA_060	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		Little Brosna	Brosna PAA
25B_5	IE_SH_25P010500	PALLAS_010	River	At risk	At risk	Moderate	Moderate	No	Ag		Ag significant processo
											2027 EO
											1. Reverse recent decline,
25B_1	IE_SH_25R010300	RAPEMILLS_010	River	Not at risk	At risk	Good	Moderate	No	Ag	Rapemills	NFGWS Rath GWS
											Ag, peat significant pressure 2027 EO
258 1	IE SH 250010500	PADEMILLS 020	Pivor	Poviow	At rick	Linassigned	Unassigned	No	Ag Post	Panomills	OY propose for LAWPRO
238_1	IE_3H_23K010300		NIVEI	Review	ALTISK	Unassigned	Onassigned	NO	Ag, Fedi	каренниз	1. Headwater 2. Coolderry W/W/TP Sig
25B 7	IE SH 25R020050	ROCK (BIRR) 010	River	At risk	At risk	Moderate	Poor	No	UWW	Rock (Birr)	Pressure
									Ag. UR.		
25B_7	IE_SH_25R020200	ROCK (BIRR)_020	River	Review	At risk	Unassigned	Moderate	No	UWW	Rock (Birr)	1. Building on previous work by OCC
										Roscomore	
25B_3	IE_SH_25R050100	Roscomore Stream_010	River	Not at risk	Not at risk	High	High	Yes		Stream	OY proposed for protection
25B_2, 25B_4	IE_SH_25S012000	SHANNON (LOWER)_010	River	Review	Review	Unassigned	Unassigned	No		Esker GWS	Esker GWS
25B_1, 25B_4	IE_SH_25S012060	SHANNON (LOWER)_020	River	Not at risk	At risk	Good	Moderate	No	UR		
25B_4, 25B_5	IE_SH_25S012350	SHANNON (LOWER)_030	River	Review	Review	Unassigned	Unassigned	No			1 Use durations 2 surgest Dissurg 020
25B 6	IF SH 255110100	SHINBONE STREAM 010	River	At risk	At risk	Poor	Poor	No	For Other	Shinrone	1. Headwaters, 2 .support Brosna 020 (proposed PAA)
25B_0	IE_SH_25Y150770	Derryholmes 010	River	Review	Review	Unassigned	Unassigned	No		Shinione	
14_15, 15_1, 15_13, 15_9, 25A_12, 25A_6, 25B_3, 25B_6	IE_SE_G_027	Camross	Groundwater	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No			
14_15, 15_1,											
15_10, 15_13,											
15_9, 25B_6	IE_SE_G_047	Coolrain	Groundwater	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No			
15_13, 25B_6	IE_SE_G_050	Derrymore Gravels	Groundwater	Review	Not at risk	Good	Good	No			
$14_{11}, 14_{15}, 15_{10}$											
15 13, 15 14.											
15_15, 15_16,											
15_9, 16_21,											
16_22, 25B_6	IE_SE_G_114	Rathdowney	Groundwater	Review	Review	Good	Good	No			

Subcatament         Waterbody Name         Waterbody Name         Waterbody Name         Note Prope         Note Name         Note Name         Note Name         Name <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>High Ecological Status</th> <th></th> <th>Recommended</th> <th></th>									High Ecological Status		Recommended	
Care         Treelooy Ode         Telefooy Tame         Type         Nak 2-0         Nak 2-0         Jako 2-0	Subcatchment	Waterbody Code	Waterbody Name	Waterbody	Pick 10-15	Pick 12-19	Status 10-15	Status 12-19	Objective Waterbody	Significant	Areas for Action	R
13       15       15       16 <td< th=""><th>15 13 15 14</th><th>waterbouy code</th><th>waterbody Name</th><th>Туре</th><th>KISK 10-15</th><th>KISK 13-10</th><th>Status 10-15</th><th>Status 15-16</th><th>waterbody</th><th>Flessules</th><th>Name</th><th></th></td<>	15 13 15 14	waterbouy code	waterbody Name	Туре	KISK 10-15	KISK 13-10	Status 10-15	Status 15-16	waterbody	Flessules	Name	
15 11, 16 13, 16 13, 16 13, 17 14, 16 13, 17 14, 16 13, 16 13, 16 14,	15 15, 16 10,											
15.18.16.2, 15.20.16.2, 15.20.16.28, 15.4.16.5, 15.4.16, 15.	16_11, 16_13,											
16.20, 16.21,	16_18, 16_2,											
16 22, 16 28, 16 28, 16 28, 16 28, 16 29,	16_20, 16_21,											
12 - 1, 0 - 5, 16 - 5, 16 - 5, 17       Templemore       Groundwater       Review       Review       Good       Good       No	16_22, 16_28,											
120-10-7       24.12,742       24.32,742       25.7       15.5       <	16_4, 16_5, 16_6_16_9											
243,759_0       250_2	24 12 24 2											
25C, 425C, 520, 7       IE_SE, 62, 131       Templemore       Groundwater       Review       Review       Good       No       Image: Complement of the complement	24 3,25B 6,											
25D 2, 2, 25C 7, 15 SE 5C 131       Templemore       Groundwater       Review       Good       Good       No       Image: Section of the sectin of the section of the section of the section of the s	25C_4, 25C_5,											
250 5 250 7         IE 5E 6_131         Tamplemore         Groundwater         Review         God         God         No         Image	25D_2,											
258 4, 250 1, 260 3, 260 5, 266 1, 266 3, 29 5       IE SH G 019       Aughrim       Groundwater       Not at risk       God       God       No       Image: Constraint of the cons	25D_5, 25D_7	IE_SE_G_131	Templemore	Groundwater	Review	Review	Good	Good	No			L
250-12,       260-2,       250-2,       260-3,       250-5,       261,       250-5,       261,       250-5,       261,       260-2,	25B_4,											
200_2, 260_3, 260_5, 266_1, 266_3, 266_2, 29_9       IE_SH_6_019       Aughrim       Groundwater       Not at risk       Good       Good       No	25C_12, 26D_2											
200-5, 266, 266, 266, 299         E,SH G 019         Aughrim         Groundwater         Not at risk         Not at risk         Good         Good         No           256, 7, 25C, 2 5C, 9         IE_SH G 019         Aughrim         Groundwater         Review         Good         Good         No         Image: State	26D_2, 26D_3											
266-1, 269-9266-10266-019AughrimGroundwaterNot at riskNot at riskGoodGoodNo.Image: Source of the sourc	26D 5,											
26G.3, 29.5,       IE SH G. 019       Aughrim       Groundwater       Not at risk       Not at risk       Good       Good       No.       Image: Constraint of the state of the sta												
29.9       IE_SH_G_019       Aughrim       Groundwater       Not at risk       Not at risk       Good       Good       No.       Image: Constraint of the constraint of th	26G_3, 29_5,											
258.7, 25C.2,       1E_SH_G_021       Ballinderry       Groundwater       Review       Review       Good       Good       No       Image: Comparison of the comparison of	29_9	IE_SH_G_019	Aughrim	Groundwater	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No			_
25C 9       IE SH G 021       Balinderry       Groundwater       Review       Review       Good       No       Image: Solar state s	25B_7, 25C_2,											
258_1, 258_6, 258_7, 258_7, 256_10, 256_2, 256_2, 256_2, 258_5, 258_7, 256_1, 258_3, 258_5, 258_7, 256_10, 256_2, 260_10, 256_2, 260_10	250_9	IE_SH_G_021	Ballinderry	Groundwater	Review	Review	Good	Good	No			-
255_4, 258_5, 258_7, 25C_10, 25C_20IE_SH_G_040BanagherGroundwaterNot at riskNot at riskGoodGoodNo25A_1, 25A_1, 25B_3, 25B_7, 25C_20IE_SH_G_041BirrGroundwaterReviewNot at riskGoodGoodNoImage: Comparison of the comparis	25A_1,25A_8, 25B_1_25B_2											
25B_7, 25C_10, 25C_10, 25C_2IE_SH_6_040BanagherGroundwaterNot at riskNot at riskGoodGoodNo25A_1, 25A_11, 25A_11, 25A_11, 25A_12, 25B_3, 25B_7, 25C_2IE_SH_6_041BirrGroundwaterReviewNot at riskGoodGoodNoImage: Comparison of the	25B 4, 25B 5,											
25C_10, 25C_2IE_SH_G_040BanagherGroundwaterNot at riskNot at riskGoodGoodNoImage: Comparison of the compariso	25B_7,											
2SC_2IE_SH_G_040BanagherGroundwaterNot at riskNot at riskGoodGoodNoImage: Constraint of the con	25C_10,											
25A_1, 25B_1, 25B_3, 25B_5, 25B_7, 25C_2IE_SH_G_041BirrGroundwaterReviewNot at riskGoodGoodNoImage: Comparison of the c	25C_2	IE_SH_G_040	Banagher	Groundwater	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No			L
25A-11, 25B_1, 25B_3, 25C_2IE_SH_G_041BirrGroundwaterReviewNot at riskGoodGoodNoImage: Comparison of the	25A_1,											
25B_1, 25B_5, 25B_7, 25C_2IE_SH_6_041BirrGroundwaterReviewNot at riskGoodGoodNoImage: Comparison of the compa	25A_11, 25B_1_25B_2											
25C_2IE_SH_G_041BirrGroundwaterReviewNot at riskGoodGoodNoImage: Constraint of the constraint o	25B_1,25B_3, 25B_5,25B_7.											
25B_7, 25C_2, 25C_9       IE_SH_G_042       Borrisokane       Groundwater       Review       Review       Good       No       Image: Constraint of the constraint	25C_2	IE_SH_G_041	Birr	Groundwater	Review	Not at risk	Good	Good	No			
25C_9IE_SH_G_042BorrisokaneGroundwaterReviewReviewGoodGoodNoImage: Constraint of the constraint	25B 7,25C 2,											
15_13, 25A_12, 25B_3,25B_6IE_SH_G_045BredaghGroundwaterReviewReviewGoodGoodNoImage: Comparison of the com	25C_9	IE_SH_G_042	Borrisokane	Groundwater	Review	Review	Good	Good	No			
25A_12,       25B_3,25B_6       IE_SH_G_045       Bredagh       Groundwater       Review       Good       Good       No       Model       Mo	15_13,											
25B_3, 25B_6       IE_SH_G_045       Bredagh       Groundwater       Review       Review       Good       No       Image: Constraint of the second seco	25A_12,											
25B_2, 26G_3       IE_SH_G_060       (SAC000571)       Groundwater       Review       Not at risk       Good       No       Image: Control of the second secon	25B_3, 25B_6	IE_SH_G_045	Bredagh	Groundwater	Review	Review	Good	Good	No			_
25B_2, 2bG_3     IE_SH_G_UbU     (SACUUUS/1)     Groundwater     Review     Not at risk     Good     Good     No       14_15			GWDTE-Fin Lough Fen		Devi		Cont	Carl	N.S.			1
	258_2,26G_3	IE_SH_G_060	(SACUUU571)	Groundwater	Review	NOT at risk	Good	Good	INO			⊢
	14_13, 25A 12											
25A_6, 25B_3 IE_SH_G_066 Clonaslee West Groundwater Not at risk Review Good Good No	25A_6, 25B_3	IE_SH_G_066	Clonaslee West	Groundwater	Not at risk	Review	Good	Good	No			

Recommended Areas for Action Name	Recommended Areas for Action (reasons for selection)

Subcatchment Code	Waterbody Code	Waterbody Name	Waterbody Type	Risk 10-15	Risk 13-18	Status 10-15	Status 13-18	High Ecological Status Objective Waterbody	Significant Pressures	Recomme Areas for A Name
25B_3, 25B_6	IE_SH_G_097	Industrial Facility (P0320-02)	Groundwater	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		
07_11, 14_14,										
14_15, 14_20,										
25A_11,										
25A_12,										
25A_2, 25A_3,										
25A_4, 25A_5,										
25A_0,25B_1,		Goachill	Groundwater	Not at rick	Not at rick	Good	Good	No		
	IC_3H_G_103	Geasinii	Groundwater	NUL AL LISK	NULALIISK	Guu	GUUU	NO		
07 9.25A 10.										
25A 8.25A 9.										
25B 2,26C 1,										
26C_6, 26C_7,										
26E_1, 26E_4,										
26E_6, 26F_1,										
26F_10,										
26F_2, 26F_3,										
26F_4, 26F_5,										
26F_6, 26F_7,										
26F_8, 26F_9,										
26G_1,										
266 2 26 18										
36 8 36 9	IF SH G 110	Inny	Groundwater	Review	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		
25B 7.		·····y	Groundwater	neview	Not at this	0000	0000	110		
25C 11,										
25C_2, 25C_9	IE_SH_G_147	Lismaline	Groundwater	Review	Review	Good	Good	No		
16_22, 16_28,										
16_5, 25B_5,										
25B_6, 25B_7,										
25C_1,										
25C_10,										
25C_11,										
250_2, 250_4,										
250_5, 250_9,										
250_1, 250_4										
250_4,	IF SH G 178	Nenagh	Groundwater	Review	Review	Good	Good	No		
15 13 16 22			Groundwater				3000			
25A 11.										
25A 12,										
25B_1,25B_3.										
25B_6, 25B_7,	IE_SH_G_205	Shinrone	Groundwater	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		

Recommended Areas for Action Name	Recommended Areas for Action (reasons for selection)

Subcatchment			Waterbody					High Ecological Status Objective	Significant	Rec Are
Code	Waterbody Code	Waterbody Name	Туре	Risk 10-15	Risk 13-18	Status 10-15	Status 13-18	Waterbody	Pressures	Nar
25C_2, 25C_4,										
25C_9										
14_15, 15_9,										
25A_12,										
25A_6, 25B_3	IE_SH_G_210	Slieve Bloom North	Groundwater	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		
15_13, 15_9,										
25A_12,										
25B_3, 25B_6	IE_SH_G_211	Slieve Bloom South	Groundwater	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	NO		
25A_1,										
25A_11, 25A_12										
254_12,										
25A 4 25A 5										
25A 6.25A 7.										
25A_9, 25B_1	IE_SH_G_232	Tullamore	Groundwater	Review	At risk	Good	Good	No	Ag	
25B_1, 25B_2,										
25B_4, 25B_5,										
25C_10,										
25C_12,										
25C_3, 25C_6,										
25C_7, 25C_8,										
26D_3,										
26G_1,										
266_3, 29_1,										
29_7, 29_0,		Typagh	Groundwater	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	No		
	1L_311_0_230		Groundwater	NUT AT LISK	NOUALTISK		0000	NO		
07 9.25A 1										
25A 10.										
25A 11,										
25A_2, 25A_3,										
25A_5, 25A_7,										
25A_8, 25A_9,										
25B_1, 25B_2,										
25B_4, 26F_1,										
26F_2, 26F_4,										
26F_5, 26G_1,										
266_3	IE_SH_G_240		Groundwater	Not at risk	Not at risk	Good	Good	NO		
25A_1,										
20A_11,										
230_1,230_3, 258 5 258 7		Birr Gravels	Groundwater	Review	Not at rick	Good	Good	No		
200_0,200_/				Review	NOTATISK	0000	0000			

Recommended Areas for Action Name	Recommended Areas for Action (reasons for selection)

Subcatchment Code	Waterbody Code	Waterbody Name	Waterbody Type	Risk 10-15	Risk 13-18	Status 10-15	Status 13-18	High Ecological Status Objective Waterbody	Significant Pressures	Recommended Areas for Action Name	Recommended Areas for Action (reasons for selection)
15_13,25B_6, 25B_7	IE SH G 247	Roscrea Gravels	Groundwater	Review	Not at risk	Good	Good	No			
 25B_6, 25C_4, 25C_9	IE_SH_G_251	Cloughjordan-Moneygall Gravels	Groundwater	Review	Not at risk	Good	Good	No			
25A_1, 25A_11, 25A_8, 25B_2	IE_SH_G_256	Gageborogh-Brosna Gravels Group 3	Groundwater	Review	Not at risk	Good	Good	No			

Ag: Agriculture

M+Q: Mines and Quarries

Peat: Peat Drainage and Extraction

DWW: Domestic Waste Water

For: Forestry

Hymo: Hydromorphology

UWW: Urban Waste Water

UR: Urban Run-off

Ind: Industry

Note: Significant Pressures for Review waterbodies have not been included as they will need to be confirmed as part of an Investigative Assessment.